

REMARKS


In response to the rejection of claims 5 to 10 and 35 U.S.C section 102 (b) as been anticipated by O'Toole at all. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,889, 856), independent claims 5, 7 and 9 are amended.

O'Toole does not subject the data outgoing to the digital telephone switching system to an analog-digital conversion at a sampling rate above a sampling rate requires for a telephone information and, coding incoming data from the digital telephone switching system according to a digital to analog conversion using a linear characteristic. O'Toole shows only a single analog to digital converter 44 in his main figure. That is, he does not describe a process for subjecting outgoing data to a sampling rate above the sampling rate required for telephone information, and coding incoming data according to et al. O'Toole specifically describes using a single analog-digital (A/D) converter (see abstract).

O'Toole teaches this because it would be bulky and expensive to include an analog frequency-splitter. In other words, O'Toole teaches a way from using different processes, other than a single process, for converting signals between his telephone line and the telephone system. Therefore, we respectfully ask the Examiner to withdraw the outstanding rejection.

Since no other issues are remaining in this case, it is respectfully requested that claims 5-10 be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Ignacio Marc Asperas  
Siemens AG  
US Reg. No. 37,274

February 10, 2004